| and a constant | | | UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|---|----------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N | |
| 09/665,482 | 09/20/2000 | Peter H. I. Kim | 412342-2 | 8575 | |
| 7590 10/06/2003 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | Brian M Berliner | | | YOUNG, JOHN L | |
| | | O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street | | | |
| O'Melveny & N | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

•

.

.

.

| | | | | Ì | |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------|--|
| | Application No. 09/665,482 | Applicant(s) | Kim | 8 | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner John Your | | Art Unit 3622 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | s on the cover sheet w | ith the corresp | oondence addi | ress | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | n no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTI the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed a y (30) days will be a HS from the mailing NDONED (35 U.S.(| fter SIX (6) MONT considered timely. date of this comm C. § 133). | | |
| Status | 2000 | | | | |
| 1) XI Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 20, .</u> | | | · · | · | |
| _ | ction is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle. | | | | ne merits is | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co | | | | |
| 5) 🔲 Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 🗌 Claims | are subj | ect to restrict | ion and/or el | ection requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) \Box The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | _ | _ | | | |
| | e a) 🗌 accepted or | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | | approved t |) 🗆 olsappro | ved by the Examiner. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(| d) or (f). | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | ve been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | | | · | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed office action for a list of the attached detailed detailed office action for attached detailed d | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a |)). | his National | Stage | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | ١ | Λ / I | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provision | | | ,. 1 | / // // | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | and/on 121. | 1' ~ | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | уV | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (| - | | η^{2} | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa 6) 🛄 Other: | itent Application (P | ro-152) | ۲ (| |

Serial Number: 09/665,482

Art Unit: 3622

ż

FIRST ACTION REJECTION

(Kim)

DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; however, said drawings are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been ^{pulling environment}.... obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

•

Art Unit: 3622

ż

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over <u>Olivier</u> US
 6,480,885 (11/12/2002) [US f/d: 4/25/2000] (herein referred to as "<u>Olivier</u>").

As per claim 1, <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) shows "A method of providing remote users with a centralized polling environment, comprising the steps of: creating polls; archiving said polls in a storage area; searching said archived polls to provide a selected set of said polls; placing one of said selected polls in a web page; delivering said Web page to permit user viewing and interaction with said one of selected polls; and building a profile for one of said users based on said interaction."

<u>Olivier</u> lacks an explicit recitation of "A method of providing remote users with a centralized polling environment. . . ." even though <u>Olivier</u> reasonably suggests same.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) would have been modified and <u>Secondary Secondary Se</u>

3

Art Unit: 3622

As per claims 2-14, <u>Olivier</u> shows the elements and limitations of claim 1 and subsequent claims depending from claim 1.

Olivier (col. 19, 11. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) suggests the elements and limitations of claims 2-14.

<u>Olivier</u> lacks an explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claims 2-14 even though <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, 11. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) suggests same.

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claims 2-14 were well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elements and limitations of claims 16-28 in accordance the selected/modified disclosure of <u>Olivier</u>, because such selection/modification of the disclosure of <u>Olivier</u> would have provided the motivation of "*creating high quality interactions within electronic* . . . [forums]." (See <u>Olivier</u> (col. 3, 11. 3-4)).

As per claim 15, <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG on said infer 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) suggests "A computer-implemented it would have be system for delivering information to users, comprising: an application server connected to a network, said application server coupled to a database comprising an archive of polls, said application server being responsive to requests from a user computer of said network

•

Art Unit: 3622

for particular polls from said database; a Web host connected to said network and comprising a Web server and a second database, said Web server being responsive to request messages from a user computer for a particular Web page to thereby deliver said particular Web page selected from said second database to said user computer, said particular Web page containing a reference link to polls archived said first database; and an administrative processor coupled to said application server, said administrator processor executing instructions to provide the functions of: creating new polls; archiving said new polls in said first database; searching said archived polls based on predefined search criteria to provide a selected set of said polls; placing one of said selected polls in a Web page; delivering said Web page to permit user viewing and interaction with said one of selected polls; and building a profile for one of said users based on said interaction with said one of selected polls; and building a profile for one of said users based on said interaction."

<u>Olivier</u> lacks an explicit recitation of "building a profile for one of said users based in on said interaction with said one of selected polls; and building a profile for one of said users based on said interaction. . . ." even though <u>Olivier</u> reasonably suggests, same said interaction

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the intervention that the disclosure of <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) would have been modified and selected in accordance with "building a profile for one of said users based on said

Art Unit: 3622

ŝ

interaction with said one of selected polls; and building a profile for one of said users based on said interaction. . . ." because such modification/selection of the disclosure of . <u>Olivier</u> would have provided means for *"creating high quality interactions within electronic forms.*" (See <u>Olivier</u> (col. 3, ll. 3-4)).

As per claims 16-28, <u>Olivier</u> shows the elements and limitations of claim 15 and subsequent claims depending from claim 15.

Olivier (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) suggests the elements and limitations of claims 16-28.

<u>Olivier</u> lacks an explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claims 2-14 even though <u>Olivier</u> (col. 19, ll. 40-55; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 3B; FIG. 4; FIG. 5A; 1; FIG. 5B; FIG. 21; and whole document) suggests same.

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claims 16-28 were well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elements and limitations of claims 16-28 in accordance the concerning the selected/modified disclosure of <u>Olivier</u>, because such selection/modification of the concerning the disclosure of <u>Olivier</u> would have provided the motivation of "creating high quality interactions within electronic . . . [forums]." (See <u>Olivier</u> (col. 3, 11, 3-4)). Serial Number: 09/665,482

(Kim)

Art Unit: 3622

CONCLUSION

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 746-7239 or (703) 872-9314 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

ż

7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner or concerning the should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703):305-3801;:(Théo John L. Y examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Art Unit: 3622

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ohn L. Young Primary Patent Examiner

September 30, 2003

ż

•